Review Methods: Linear vs. VAR vs. CAL

	Training Documents	Training Model	Document Categorization/ Prediction
Linear	N/A	None	**Manual review** and categorization of all documents (after filtering)
VAR	Coded by **SMEs**	**Static** - Based on SME's decisions on seed documents and must be **manually perfected** through several rounds of training	**Multiple rounds of training and prediction** are done. When prediction is acceptable, **then manual review** and categorization is done for the predicted documents.
CAL	Coded by **Reviewers**	**Dynamic** - Continuously updated and perfected based on Reviewer's coding decisions and content presented	**Automated training** is integrated and **occurs simultaneously** with manual review and categorization of documents.

CAL vs. Linear Review

- Linear review is all manual. CAL is the best of both worlds. It combines **human reviewers with machine learning** to yield **better results faster**.
- CAL's machine learning **greatly reduces the number of documents** that have to be manually reviewed.
- CAL presents a **mixture of documents** as a check and balance of the system and the reviewers.

CAL vs. Venio Assisted Review

- Training documents in CAL are **coded by Reviewers versus Subject Matter Experts** (SMEs). This **frees SMEs up** for higher level tasks.

- CAL's **training model is continuously updated** based on the Reviewers' coding decisions. Therefore, the model is not based only on the initial training set, and it also **adjusts when additional data is incorporated** into the dataset.

- **No training rounds required!** CAL produces faster results with less effort. Therefore, it **significantly lowers your costs**.